

SUSANA MARTINEZ
Governor

JOHN A. SANCHEZ
Lt. Governor

### NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Harold Runnels Building 1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505) P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469 Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160 www.env.nm.gov



BUTCH TONGATE Cabinet Secretary-Designate J. C. BORREGO Deputy Secretary

Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

January 9, 2017

Rick Vitale Plant Manager Materion Corporation 5941 Midway Park Pl Albuquerque, NM 87109

Re: Materion Refinery, Unpermitted Discharge/MSGP; SIC 3341; NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection; NPDES #NMU001926, December 12, 2016

Dear Mr. Vitale:

Enclosed please find a copy of the report and check list for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and advised to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. If you have comments on or concerns with the basis for the findings in the NMED inspection report, please contact us (see the address below) in writing within 30 days from the date of this letter. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

NPDES Enforcement Coordinator Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 NPDES Enforcement Branch (6EN-WM) 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733 Program Manager New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau (N2050) Point Source Regulation Section P.O. Box 5469 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

### Materion Refinery, NPDES #NMU001926 Page 2 of 2

If you have any questions about this inspection report, please contact Jennifer Foote at (505)827-0596 or at Jennifer.Foote@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sarah Holcomb

Sarah Holcomb Acting Program Manager Point Source Regulation Section Surface Water Quality Bureau

cc: Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-WM), by e-mail David Long, USEPA (6EN-WM), by e-mail Robert Houston, USEPA (6EN), by e-mail Darlene Whittten-Hill, USEPA (6EN), by e-mail Bill Chavez, NMED District I by e-mail Armando Zarrazola, Materion, by e-mail Daniel Woodbridge, Materion, by e-mail

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85



### **NPDES Compliance Inspection Report**

	Section A: National Data System Coding																																
Transaction Code NPDES									yı	/mo/d	ay			In	spec. T	`ype		Inspec	tor	Fac	с Туре												
1	N	2	5	3	N	M	U	0		0	1	9	2		6	11	12	: [	1	6	1	2	1	2	17	18	~		19	s	20	2	
ı	ı	ı		l	1	ı	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	ı	ī	ı	Ī	Rem	ark	s		ı	ı	Ī	Ī	1	ı	1	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	ı
L	P	R	I	M	A	R	Y			M	E	Т	A		L	S																	
	In 67	specti	on Wo	ork Da	ays 69				Faci	lity E 70	valua 3	tion R	atin	ıg		71	BI N	ı	1	QA N	73	I	 	74	 75	 	-Reser	ved	 	 	 I	80	`
	07				09					70	3					/1	IN	_	12	IN	13			/4	13							0(	,
															Se	ction	B: F	aci	lity D	ata													
	Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number)  Entry Time /Date 12/12/16 1pm  6-4-2015																																
MATERION CORPORATION REFINERY  Evit Time/Data  Requit Evaluation Data																																	
12/12/16 3:15 pm																																	
Take	Take Osuna Exit west from I -25. Turn right on Washington St, drive to end, facility is on left.  6-4-2020																																
	Remalillo County Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Other Facility Data																																
	Armando Zarrazola, Lab Manager, 505-342-5511 Dan Woodbridge, EHS Manager, 505-342-5580																																
Nan	Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number  SIC 3341																																
	ick Vitale, Plant Manager  Contacted Sector F																																
	941 Midway Park NE    Yes																																
	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection																																
	(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)																																
U	Peri	nit					1	N	Flo	ow M	Ieasu	remen	t	S Operations & Maintenance N						N	CSO/SSO												
M	Rec	ords/	Repo	rts			S		S	elf-M	Ionito	oring l	Pro	ogram N Sludge Handling/Disposal N						N	Pollution Prevention												
S		ility S					N			-		Sche	dule								Multimedia												
N	Eff	luent/	Recei	ving	Wate	rs	N				atory		_			S Storm Water N Other:  ngs/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)																	
									Sect	ion D	): Sur	nmar	y of	Fin	ding	s/Co	mme	nts	(Atta	ich ac	lditio	nal sh	eets i	f nece	ssary)								
Ma	nager	. Insp	ector	s tou	red th		lity wi																		presen w was								IS
Nan	ne(s) a	and S	ignatı	ıre(s)	of In	specto	or(s)						A	Agen	cy/O	ffice	/Tele	pho	ne/F	ax							Dat	e					
	ifer I					fer Fo								_	-			_	7-059								1/9	1/9/17					
							-																										
Sign	ature	of M	anag	emen	t QA	Revie	wer						A	Agen	cy/C	Office	e/Pho	ne a	and I	ax N	umbe	rs					Da	te					
Sarah Holcomb, Acting Program Manager /s/ Sarah Holcomb NMED/SWQB 505-827-2798																																	

<u>National</u>	Database Information	<u>General</u>			
Inspection Type	Compliance Evalu	ıation	Inspector Name	Jennifer Foote	
NPDES ID Number	NMU001926		Telephone	505-827-0596	
Inspection Date	12/12/16		Entry Time	1 pm	
Inspector Type (circle one)	□EPA ⊠State	□EPA Oversight	Exit Time	3:15pm	
Facility Sector/ SIC/Activity Code	Sector F/ SIC 33	341	Signature	/s/ Jennifer Foote	

Facility Location Information										
Name/Location/ Mailing Address										
GPS Coordinates	Latitude	35.1591	Longitude	-106.5990						
Receiving Water(s)  S. Pino Arroyo Channel thence to Rio Grande Segment 20.6.4.106										

Contact Information								
	Name(s)	Telephone						
Name(s) and Role(s) of All Parties Meeting the Definition of Operator	Rick Vitale Plant Manager	505-345-1805						
Facility Contact	Dan Woodbridge	505-342-5580						
Authorized Official(s)	Rick Vitale	505-345-1805						

Basic Permit	Information	Basic SWPPP Information			
Permit Coverage	□Ү	⊠N	SWPPP Prepared & Available 🖂 Y	□N	
Permit Type		□ Individual	SWPPP Contents Satisfactory	⊠N	
Operational Date	Facility:1977 Current operator: 2010		SWPPP Implementation	⊠N	
NOI/Application Date	n/a		SWPPP Date Rev 3 3/24/2016		
If applicable, is no exposure certification on file?		□N ⊠N/A			

SWPPP Review			
<u>General</u>			Notes:
Was the SWPPP completed prior to NOI submission?	⊠ Y	□ N	SWPP is originally dated July 30, 2015 with Rev 3 3/24/16. Current SWPPP Certification is dated 3/28/16.
Copy of the NOI and acknowledgment letter from EPA?	Y	⊠ N	Plan refers to 2008 MSGP number NMR05GD28. A 2015 NOI application dated 9/2/2015 was provided (attachment A), but it was not completely submitted to the NeT-MSGP system and no acknowledgement letter is available. A search of the EPA's Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO) system listed only the expired 2008 permit.
Copy of the permit language?	Y	⊠ N	Plan states permit is available via company intranet.
Have copies of inspection reports/all other documentation been retained as part of the SWPPP for 3 years from date permit coverage expires?	Y	⊠ N	Only inspections from current permit term were provided with current plan.
Does the SWPPP contain a signed/certified statement indicating that the site is inactive and unstaffed, and that there are no industrial materials or activities exposed to precipitation, in accordance with the substantive requirements in 40 CFR 122.26(g)(4)(iii)?  Applicable to:  Routine facility inspection (4.1.3)  Quarterly visual assessment (4.2.3)  Benchmark monitoring (6.2.1.3).	Y	Z	N/A
Does the SWPPP include copies of relevant parts of other documents (e.g., SPCC) referenced in the SWPPP?	× Y	□ N	SPCC for chemicals was included with SWPPP
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Endangered Species Act?	× Y	□ <b>N</b>	
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Historic Preservation Act?	× Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under NEPA (New Source)?	Y	□ N	n/a
Did all "operators" sign/certify the SWPPP?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Is the storm water pollution prevention team identified (name or title)?	× Y	□ <b>N</b>	
Are the storm water pollution prevention team's responsibilities identified?	⊠ Y	□ N	

Site Description			Notes:
SWPPP provides a description of the facility's industrial activities?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Is there a general location map (e.g., USGS quadrangle map) with enough detail to identify the location of the facility and all receiving waters for storm water discharges?	Y	⊠ N	General location map does not clearly show locations of receiving waters.
Is there a site specific site map?	⊠ Y	□ <b>N</b>	
Does the site map contain the size of the property in acres?	Y	⊠ N	Size of property is in written site description in Plan.
Does the site map contain the location and extent of significant structures and impervious surfaces?	× Y	□ <b>N</b>	
Does the site map contain directions of storm water flow (indicated by arrows)?	× Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain locations of all existing structural control measures?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the site map contain locations of all receiving waters in the immediate vicinity of the facility, indicating if any of the waters are impaired, and if so, whether the waters have TMDLs established for them?	Y	⊠ N	Site map does not include information on impairments and TMDL, information is included in written plan only.
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water conveyances including ditches, pipes and swales?	□ Y	⊠ N	
Does the site map contain locations of all potential pollutants and significant materials identified under Part 5.1.3.2?	□ Y	⊠ N	
Does the site map contain locations where significant spills or leaks identified under Part 5.1.3.3 have occurred?	Y	□ N	n/a
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water monitoring points?	□ Y	⊠ N	
Does the site map contain locations of storm water inlets and outfalls, with a unique identification (e.g., 001, 002) for each outfall and if substantially identical?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the site map contain municipal separate storm sewers and where the facility discharges to them?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the site map contain locations and descriptions of all non-storm water discharges?	Y	□ N	n/a

	Site Description			Notes:
the	es the site map contain locations of following activities where these ivities are exposed to precipitation?	Y	⊠ N	
•	Fueling stations			
•	Vehicle and equipment maintenance and/or cleaning areas			
•	Loading/unloading areas			
•	Locations used for the treatment, storage or disposal of wastes			
•	Liquid storage tanks			
•	Processing and storage areas			
•	Immediate access roads and rail lines used or travelled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste materials, or byproducts used or created by the facility			
•	Transfer areas for substances in bulk			
•	Machinery			
Does the site map contain locations and sources of run-on to the site from adjacent property that contains significant quantities of pollutants?			□ N	N/A
fact act	es the SWPPP document areas at the ility where industrial materials or ivities are exposed to storm water d from which allowable non-storm ter discharges are released?	□ Y	⊠ N	Specific locations of activities are not described.
Does the SWPPP include a list of the industrial activities exposed to storm water (e.g., material storage; equipment fueling, maintenance, and cleaning; cutting steel beams)?			□ N	
Does the SWPPP include a list of pollutants and/or pollutant constituents associated with each identified activity?			□ N	
do	es the SWPPP include cumentation of where spills and leaks curred for three years prior to the sparation of the SWPPP?	Y	□ N	n/a Facility stated no spill or leaks have occurred in the past 3 years.

Site Description			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include a non-storm water discharge evaluation in the SWPPP? Does it include:  Date  Description of evaluation criteria  List of the outfalls or onsite drainage points directly observed  Different types of non-storm water discharges and source locations  Actions taken such as a list of control measures for elimination.	⊠ Y	_ Z	
Does salt storage occur at this facility?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the SWPPP include a summary of storm water sampling data for the previous permit term?	□ Y	⊠ N	
Controls to Reduce Pollutants	•		Notes:
Does the SWPPP include documentation of the location and type of control measures at the facility to comply with the requirements in Part 2?	× Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include documentation that selection and design of control measures were based on a consideration of the practices and procedures in Part 2.1.1?	Y	× N	
Does the SWPPP include measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff by either locating these industrial materials and activities inside or protecting them with storm resistant coverings?	×	□ <b>Z</b>	
Does the SWPPP include good housekeeping measures (e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers)?	¥	□ <b>X</b>	Plan includes a table for documenting monthly sweeping. The table has not been used.

Controls to Reduce Pollutants		Notes:	
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for pickup and disposal of wastes and routine inspections of tanks and drums?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the SWPPP include preventative maintenance procedures, including regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line?	□ Y	× N	No back up method for the automated stormwater sampling is described in the plan.
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for preventative maintenance procedures?	× Y	□ <b>N</b>	
Does the SWPPP include procedures for minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur?	×	□ <b>N</b>	
Does the facility implement procedures for plainly labeling containers (e.g., "Used Oil," "Spent Solvents," "Fertilizers and Pesticides," etc.) that could be susceptible to spillage or leakage to encourage proper handling and facilitate rapid response if spills or leaks occur?	Y	× N	Containers are grouped by contents, but labels are sometimes hard to access. Empty drums are not labeled as such. No description of which chemicals are liquid or solid included in plan.
Does the facility implement preventative measures such as barriers between material storage and traffic areas, secondary containment provisions, and procedures for material storage and handling?	Y	× N	No barriers were located between loading dock and drums of silver nitrate, photo 1.  Outdoor drums and tanks containing liquids were stored on containment pallets, photo 2.
Does the facility implement procedures for expeditiously stopping, containing, and cleaning up leaks, spills, and other releases?	Y	⊠ N	Plan included procedures, however, indoor equipment had overflowed sodium bisulfate and run under a door to the exterior about a week ago and was not cleaned up in that time, photo 3.
Does the facility train employees who may cause, detect, or respond to a spill or leak in these procedures and have necessary spill response equipment available?	Y	× N	Plan states pollution prevention team member employees are trained in depth. Training documentation provided to inspectors only covered annual basic stormwater refresher training.
Does the facility document and follow procedures for notification of appropriate facility personnel, emergency response agencies, and regulatory agencies?	Y	N	n/a

Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP document erosion and sediment controls?	× Y	□ N	
Does the facility stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, and the resulting discharge of pollutants?	× Y	□ Z	
Does the facility place flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels where necessary to reduce erosion and/or settle out pollutants?	Y	□ Z	n/a
If the facility stores salt at this facility, are the piles enclosed or covered? Does the facility implement appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile?	Y	□ X	n/a
Employee Training – is there a schedule for regular (at least annually) employee training?	× Y	□ N	Plan states all staff are trained and receive annual refresher training. Only two of the four members of pollution prevention team were included on annual refresher training roster provided from 3/9/16.
Does training cover both the specific control measures used to achieve the effluent limits in Part 2 and monitoring, inspection, planning, reporting, and documentation requirements in other parts of the permit?	Y	⊠ N	SWPP Plan states that pollution prevention staff are trained in depth. No records of in depth training were provided.
Does the facility ensure that waste, garbage, and floatable debris are not discharged to receiving waters by keeping exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged?	× Y	□ Z	
Does the facility minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials?	× Y	□ N	
Has the facility eliminated non-storm water discharges not authorized by an NPDES permit?	× Y	N	

Inspections (Part 4)							
<u>General</u>		Notes:					
Routine Facility Inspections							
Are routine facility inspections conducted at least quarterly while facility operating?	× Y	□ <b>N</b>	Inspections were conducted 9/7/15, 1/11/16, 4/8/16, 8/3/16				
<ul> <li>Are inspections documented, including:</li> <li>Date and time</li> <li>Name and signature of inspector</li> <li>Weather information and a description of discharge occurring at the time of the inspection</li> <li>Previously unidentified discharges from site</li> <li>Control measures needing maintenance or repairs</li> <li>Failed control measures that need replacement</li> <li>Incidents of noncompliance observed</li> <li>Additional control measures needed.</li> </ul>	Υ	⊠ N	Inspections do not include time and weather information				
<ul><li>Exceptions, including (see 4.1.3):</li><li>Inactive and unstaffed sites</li></ul>	□ Y	<b>□ Z</b>	n/a				
Quarterly Visual Assessment							
Are quarterly visual assessments conducted?	× Y	<b>Z</b>	Visual monitoring performed 10/21/15, 6/2/16, 8/3/16, 11/5/16.  Facilty personnel stated first quarter sample was missed due to equipment malfunction and arid period. Review of rainfall records for area showed only one rain event that quarter over 0.1".				
<ul> <li>Does the assessment consist of a sample collected:</li> <li>Within the first 30 minutes of discharge</li> <li>On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge</li> <li>Collected in a clean, clear glass or plastic container.</li> </ul>	×	□ <b>X</b>	Facility uses an automatic sampler to collect sample.				

Ins	pections			
Are	assessments documented, including:			
•	Sample location			
•	Sample collection date/time & visual assessment date/time			
•	Personnel collecting sample & performing assessment and their signature			
•	Nature of the discharge (runoff or snowmelt)	⊠ Y	<b>∠ Z</b>	
•	Results of observations (including color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen and other obvious indicators)			
•	Probable sources of contamination			
•	If applicable, reason for not taking samples within 1 <sup>st</sup> 30 minutes.			
Ex	ceptions, including (see 4.2.3):			
•	Adverse weather conditions			
•	Climates with irregular storm water runoff	_		
•	Areas subject to snow	Y	N	
•	Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2)	ĭ	14	
•	Inactive and unstaffed sites.			
Со	mprehensive Site Inspections			
	e comprehensive site inspections nducted annually (start 9/29/08)?	Y	Z	No information on annual comprehensive site inspections included in plan.
	nducted by qualified personnel including		$\boxtimes$	
	east one member of the storm water	Y	N	
•	lution prevention team?			
L0	ver all areas of the facility?			
	1. 1	Υ	Ν	
ins yea	lude a review of monitoring data? Do pectors consider the results of the past ar's visual and analytical monitoring when nning and conducting inspections?		⊠ N	

Ins	pections		
Inc	lude observations of the following:		
•	Industrial materials, residue, or trash that may have or could come into contact with storm water		
•	Leaks or spills from industrial equipment, drums, tanks, and other containers		
•	Offsite tracking of industrial or waste materials, or sediment where vehicles enter or exit the site	Y	⊠ N
•	Tracking or blowing of raw, final, or waste materials from areas of no exposure to exposed areas		
•	Control measures needing replacement, maintenance, or repair		
•	All storm water control measures observed.		
Are	e inspections documented, including:		
•	Date of inspection		
•	Names and titles of personnel making the inspection		
•	Findings from examination of areas of facility from Part 4.3.1		
•	All observations relating to implementation of control measures	Y	⊠ N
•	Any required revisions to the SWPPP resulting from inspection	ľ	IN
•	Any incidents of noncompliance identified OR certification that facility is in compliance with the permit		
•	A statement signed in accordance with Appendix B, Subsection 11		

Monitoring (Part 6)			
<u>General</u>			Notes:
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for conducting sector (and co-located) specific benchmark monitoring?	×	N	SWPPP states that all benchmark parameters from state specific parameters in Section 9 of the NPDES permit are sampled. Facility should update plan to only include sector specific benchmark monitoring (replacing benchmark values in Section 8.F.5 with corresponding values from Section 9.6.2.1 and not sampling all benchmark values).
Does the SWPPP contain procedures for conducting effluent limitations guidelines monitoring?	Y	□ N	n/a
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for other monitoring (state or tribal specific; impaired waters; other as required)	× Y	N	SWPPP includes quarterly monitoring for e-coli.  The E. coli impairment for this segment was de-listed in 2016. Facility discharges to waters impaired for PCB (no TMDL). Monitoring procedure should be revised to meet requirements of Section 6.2.4.1 impaired waters without a TMDL.
Are samples analyzed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136 methods?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Benchmark Monitoring			
<ul> <li>Does the monitoring consist of a sample collected:</li> <li>Within the first 30 minutes of discharge</li> <li>On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge</li> <li>Document the date and duration (in hours) of the rainfall event, rainfall total (snow - date only) for that rainfall</li> <li>Prior to commingling.</li> </ul>	Y	× N	No information on rainfall event included with benchmark monitoring data.  No hardness submitted.
Is monitoring conducted during each of the first four full quarterly (calendar) monitoring periods following permit coverage?	Y	⊠ N	No sample collected for first quarter of 2016
Is the average of the first four quarterly samples < the parameter benchmark?	Y	× N	Date of sample         Copper         units         Zinc         units           11/17/2015         0.13 mg/L         0.19 mg/L         0.19 mg/L           6/2/2016         0.44 mg/L         1.1 mg/L           8/23/2016         0.045 mg/L         0.11 mg/L           11/7/2016         0.12 mg/L         0.66 mg/L           Avg.         0.18375 mg/L         0.515 mg/L           Benchmark Values Assuming hardness of 100mg/L (hardness of receiving water not provided)           Acute         0.013mg/L         0.16mg/L           Chronic         0.009 mg/L         0.121 mg/L

Мо	nitoring			
• • •	he average of the first four quarterly mples > the parameter benchmark?  Make the necessary modifications  Continue quarterly monitoring  Determine and document that no further pollutant reductions are technologically available and economically practicable and achievable, continue monitoring once per year, notify EPA  Natural background pollutant level documentation	□ Y	⊠ N	Facility has not made any modifications post monitoring.
•	Adverse weather conditions Climates with irregular storm water runoff Snowmelt Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2) Inactive and unstaffed sites.	□ Y	⊠ N	No documentation of exceptions to monitoring. Facility did not monitor for 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter, facility stated that there was low precipitation that quarter and the automatic sensor had broken.
Eff	luent Limitations Monitoring			
Sa	mpled once per year?	Y	<b>□ Z</b>	n/a
	llow-up requirements if discharge ceeds effluent limit (see 6.3)?	Y	□ N	n/a
Otl	ner Required Monitoring			
•	State or Tribal provisions Discharges to impaired waters Additional monitoring required by EPA.	× Y	N	Facility sampled for pollutants in addition to sector specific benchmarks. Facility had levels exceeding benchmark values for Aluminum, Iron, Lead, Magnesium, Silver, TSS, and BOD and may want to consider implementing additional controls for those pollutants as well.
Re	porting (Part 7)			
	<u>General</u>			Notes:
day	monitoring data reported to EPA within 30 ys of receiving analytical results for the initoring period?	Y	⊠ N	
afte	he annual report submitted by 45 days er conducting the comprehensive site pection?	Y	⊠ N	
res sub	ollow-up effluent limitations monitoring sults exceed numeric limits, was a report omitted to EPA no later than 30 days after sults were received?	Y	⊠ N	

SWPPP Implementatio	n
Measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff	(e.g., use grading, berming, or curbing to prevent runoff of contaminated flows and divert run-on away; locate materials, equipment, and activities so that leaks are contained in existing containment and diversion systems; clean up spills and leaks promptly using dry methods (e.g., absorbents) to prevent the discharge of pollutants; use drip pans and absorbents under or around leaky vehicles and equipment or store indoors where feasible; use spill/overflow protection equipment; drain fluids from equipment and vehicles prior to on-site storage or disposal; perform all cleaning operations indoors, under cover, or in bermed areas that prevent runoff and run-on and also that capture any overspray; and ensure that all washwater drains to a proper collection system)  The east side of the facility is curbed to divert run on and contain runoff. Containment pallets are used for liquid storage. Staff stated that they move check and move uncovered materials indoors every night. A photo of the covered dumpster was provided by Mr. Armando Zarrazola on Dec 16, 2016.
Good Housekeeping	(e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers)  Outdoor storage areas were orderly.
Preventative maintenance	(e.g., regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line)  The chiller area is coated in salts from an ongoing overflow problem into an exposed but contained area that drains to the facility's waste water pre-treatment equipment. (photo 4)

SWPPP Implementatio	n
Spill Prevention and Response	(e.g., minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur)
	No barriers are in place by drums next to loading dock (photo 1). Some white powder on ground near drums on west side (photo 2).
	Facility has a SPCC plan and plan states that all staff are trained, however, security staff and indoor staff did not report or clean up a sodium bisulfate spill that occurred a week ago (photo 3). It has not rained so the spill residue did not reach the watercourse yet.
	Chiller area has ongoing spill issues but is contained (photo 4) and drains to facility pre-treatment and sewer system.
Erosion and Sediment Controls	(e.g., stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels)
	Facility and outfall is paved.
Management of Runoff	(e.g., divert, infiltrate, reuse, contain, or otherwise reduce storm water runoff, to minimize pollutants in discharges)
	Run on is diverted from east side. Entire site discharges from one outfall on west side of facility.
Salt Storage Piles	(e.g., enclose or cover piles appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile)
	n/a

SWPPP Implementatio	n
Waste, Garbage and Floatable Debris	(e.g., keep exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged)
	Areas were free of waste, garbage and floatable debris.
Evidence of non- storm water discharges	
	none
Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials	(minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials)
	none

	NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 1	
Photographer: J. Foote	Date: 12/12/16	Time: 2:04pm
City/County: Albuquerque/Bernalillo		State: New Mexico
Location: Materion Refinery		
Subject: silver nitrate(powder) drums nex	t to loading dock area without traffic barriers.	



	NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 2	
Photographer: D. Valenta	Date: 12/12/16	Time: 1:49pm
City/County: Albuquerque/Bernalillo		State: New Mexico
Location: Materion Refinery		
Subject: White powder on ground, HNO <sub>3</sub> rinse liqu	aid on containment, drums on ground are empty.	



	NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo # 3	3
Photographer: J. Foote	Date: 12/12/16	Time: 1:45pm
City/County: Albuquerque/Bernalillo		State: New Mexico
Location: Materion Refinery		
Subject: Week old leak from under roll	up door to outdoors.	



	NMED/SWQB Official Photograph Log Photo #4	3
Photographer D. Valenta	Date: 12/12/16	Tme: 1:50pm
City/County: Albuquerque/Bernalillo		State: New Mexico
Location: Materion Refinery		
Subject: Salt from overflowing equipme	nt contained within curbed area that drains to pretreatmen	t system but is exposed to stormwater



# Appendix A Draft 2015 Permit Application



# 2015 NPDES Multi-Sector General Permit For Stormwater Discharges Associated With Industrial Activity (MSGP) Forms

United States Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20460

Note: This is a "smart form"; as you fill out the form, additional questions will appear that you will need to answer.

Permit Information

1. What action would you like to take? *		
File a New Notice of Intent Form		
Submission of this Notice of Intent (NOI) constitutes notice that the operator identified in the Facility Operator Information section of this form requests authorization to discharge pursuant to the NPDES Stormwater Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) permit number identified in the Permit Information section of this NOI also constitutes notice that the operator identified in the Facility Operator Information section of this form meets the eligibility conditions of Part 1.1 of the MSGP for the facility identified in the Facility Information section of this form. To obtain authorization, you must submit a complete and accurate NOI form. Discharges are not authorized if your NOI is incomplete or inaccurate or if you were never eligible for permit coverage.	oursuant to the NPI erator identified in t uthorization, you m	DES he Facility ust submit
Operator Name (Organization Name)	٠.	
Operator Name as Noted by the NO! Departer		
Materion Corporation Refinery		
2. Select the state/territory where your facility is located * 3. Is your facility located on Indian Country lands? *		
New Mexico		
4. Are you requesting coverage as a "federal operator" as defined in Appendix A? *	○ Yes (	® No

5. Are you a new discharger or a new source as defined in Appendix A?	מו כם מס מפווו זכת ווו הלילי בנומוע ה:			○ Yes	No
5a. Have stormwater discharges from your facility been covered previously under an NPDES permit?	ur facility been covered previous	isly under an NPDES permit? *			O No
Saa. Provide your most current NPDES (INMR050000)	D (i.e., permit tracking number)	if you had coverage under EPA's	5aa. Provide your most current NPDES ID (i.e., permit tracking number) if you had coverage under EPA's MSGP 2008 or the NPDES permit number if you had coverage under an EPA individual permit * NMR050000	d coverage under an EPA indiv	dual permit *
6. Do you directly discharge to any of the waters of the U.S. that are designated by the state or tribal authority under its antideg Resource Water) (See Appendix L)? Your project will be considered to discharge to a Tier 3 water if the first water of the US to EPA as a Tier 3 water. For discharges that enter a storm sewer system prior to discharge, the first water of the US to which you stormwater discharge from the storm sewer system. *	waters of the U.S. that are desi ir project will be considered to di at enter a storm sewer system p ver system. *	signated by the state or tribal authoralischarge to a Tier 3 water if the finderior to discharge, the first water of	6. Do you directly discharge to any of the waters of the U.S. that are designated by the state or tribal authority under its antidegradation policy as a Tier 3 water (Outstanding Natural Resource Water) (See Appendix L)? Your project will be considered to discharge to a Tier 3 water if the first water of the US to which you discharge is identified by a state, tribe, or EPA as a Tier 3 water. For discharges that enter a storm sewer system prior to discharge, the first water of the US to which you discharge is the waterbody that receives the stormwater discharge from the storm sewer system.*	er (Outstanding Natural O Yes ad by a state, tribe, or at receives the	® No
7. Does your facility directly discharge to a discharge flows directly into the site throu	a Federal CERCLA site listed in igh its own conveyance, or throu	n Appendix P? For the purposes of ugh a conveyance owned by other	7. Does your facility directly discharge to a Federal CERCLA site listed in Appendix P? For the purposes of this permit, a permittee discharges to a Federal CERCLA site if the discharge flows directly into the site through its own conveyance, or through a conveyance owned by others, such as a municipal separate storm sewer system. *	ERCLA site if the Yes	(a) No
8. Has the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) been prepared in advance of filing this NOI, as required?	on Plan (SWPPP) been prepare	ed in advance of filing this NOI, as	uired? *		O No
9. By indicating "Yes", I confirm that I understand that the MSGP only authorizes the allowable stormwater discharges in Part 1. Part 1.1.3. Any discharges not expressly authorized under the MSGP are not covered by the MSGP and they cannot become a Notice of Intent to be covered by the permit or by any other means (e.g., in the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan or during permit coverage other than the allowable stormwater and non-stormwater discharges listed in Parts 1.1.2 and 1.1.3 will be discipermit. *	lerstand that the MSGP only aut authorized under the MSGP are authorized under the MSGP are mit or by any other means (e.g., stormwater and non-stormwate	Ithorizes the allowable stormwater e not covered by the MSGP and th in the Stormwater Pollution Preve or discharges listed in Parts 1.1.2 a	9. By indicating "Yes", I confirm that I understand that the MSGP only authorizes the allowable stormwater discharges in Part 1.1.2 and the allowable non-stormwater discharges in Part 1.1.3. Any discharges not expressly authorized under the MSGP are not covered by the MSGP and they cannot become authorized by disclosure to EPA and/or a state via this Notice of Intent to be covered by the permit or by any other means (e.g., in the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan or during an inspection). If any discharges requiring NPDES permit coverage other than the allowable stormwater and non-stormwater discharges listed in Parts 1.1.2 and 1.1.3 will be discharged, they must be covered under another NPDES permit. *	mwater discharges in and/or a state via this requiring NPDES requiring NPDES	O N
10. Master Permit Number					
NMR050000  Facility Operator Information					
1. Operator Name (Organization Name) *					
2. Street *	**				
2. Street * 6905 Washington St. NE	*				
2. Street *  6905 Washington St. NE  3. Supplemental Address					
2. Street * 6905 Washington St. NE 3. Supplemental Address					
2. Street *  6905 Washington St. NE  3. Supplemental Address  4. City *	State *	6. Zip Code *	7. Facility County or Similar Govt. Subdivision	*	
2. Street * 6905 Washington St. NE 3. Supplemental Address 4. City * Albuquerque	7,91	6. Zip Code *	7. Facility County or Similar Govt. Subdivision *	*	
2. Street *  6905 Washington St. NE  3. Supplemental Address  4. City *  Albuquerque  8. Phone (10-digits, No dashes) *  9	EXten	6. Zip Code *	7. Facility County or Similar Govt. Subdivision	*	
Street * 905 Washington St. NE Supplemental Address City * Ibuquerque Phone (10-digits, No dashes) * 053451805	5. State * New Me	6. Zip Code * xico 8. Zip Code * 87109 87109	7. Facility County or Similar Govt. Subdivision	*	
Street * 905 Washington St. NE Supplemental Address City * City * Phone (10-digits, No dashes) * 053451805  Derator point of contact information	5. State * New Me	6. Zip Code *  87109  ridge@materion.com	7. Facility County or Similar Govt. Subdivision		LJ
	5. State * New Me 12. Middl	6. Zip Code *  87109  white the control of the cont	7. Facility County or Similar Govt. Subdivision Otero		LJ

B: Facility Information

1. Facility Name *					
Materion Corporation Refinery			igwedge Facility address same as facility operator address	facility operator address	
2. Street/Location *					
6905 Washington St. NE					
3. Supplemental Address					
4. City *	5. State *	6. Zip Code *	7. Facility County or Similar Govt. Subdivision *	3ovt. Subdivision *	
Albuquerque	New Mexico	87109	Otero		
Latitude/Longitude for the facility:			Commence of the Commence of th		
8. Latitude (Decimal Degrees) *	9. Longitude (Decimal Degrees) *		10. Latitude/Longitude Data Source * 1	11. Horizontal Reference Datum	
+ 35.1591	- 106.5990			NAD27	
12. What is the ownership type of the facility *	13. Estimated area of industrial activity at your facility exposed to stormwa	al activity at your facility expo	osed to stormwater (to the near	ter (to the nearest quarter acre) *	шананана
Corporation	2	ACCOMMANDADAM			
Identify the applicable sector and subsector of your primary industrial activity (See Appendix D) that best represents the products produced or services rendered for which your facility is primarily engaged, as defined in the MSGP, and the 4-digit Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code or 2-lefter Activity Code.	your primary industrial activity (See Industrial Classification (SIC) code	Appendix D) that best repres	sents the products produced or	services rendered for which you	ur facility is primarily engaged, as
15. Sector *	Administration of the Control of the	16. Pr	16. Primary SIC Code *		
SECTOR F: PRIMARY METALS		3341	3341: Secondary Nonferrous Metals		
17. Subsector					
+5: Secondary Smelting and Refining of Nonferrous Metals	errous Metals				
18. Identify the applicable sectors(s) of any co-located industrial activity for which you are requesting permit coverage.	located industrial activity for which y	ou are requesting permit co	verage.		
Sector		Subsector			
22. Is your facility presently inactive and unstaffed? *	led? *				
C: Discharge Information					
Outfalls					
<ol> <li>List all of the stormwater outfalls from your facility. Each outfall must be identified by a unique 3-digit ID (e.g., 001, degrees for each outfall.</li> </ol>	ur facility. Each outfall must be in	dentified by a unique 3-dig	it ID (e.g., 001, 002) or a 4-dig	002) or a 4-digit ID. Also provide the latitude and longitude in decimal	e and longitude in decimal
A. Outfall ID *				,	
and the second s					

B. Latitude (Decimal Degrees) *	C. Longit	C. Longitude (Decimal Degrees) *	
+ 35.1591	106,5990		
		(This button will prepopulate the receiving water information associated with your outfall on your form. You may edit the information that is returned if you believe it is incorrect)	(This button will prepopulate the receiving water information associated with your outfall on your form. You may edit the information that is returned if you believe it is incorrect)
If for any reason the Lookup Receiving Water Info	rmation button do	If for any reason the Lookup Receiving Water Information button does not prepopulate your form with receiving waters information,	rmation, you must manually enter the information on your form.
5. Multiple Receiving Waters were returned for Rio Grande River	r your outfall. Pl	5. Multiple Receiving Waters were returned for your outfall. Please select the receiving water that is associated with your Rio Grande River	rith your outfall from this list: *
Outfall Section			
1. Provide the name of the first water of the U.S. that receives stormwater directly (You may edit the name of the water of the U.S. that was returned if incorrect.) *	hat receives stom hat was returned	<ol> <li>Provide the name of the first water of the U.S that receives stormwater directly from the outfall and/or from the MS4 that the outfall discharges to.</li> <li>(You may edit the name of the water of the U.S. that was returned if incorrect.) *</li> </ol>	t the outfall discharges to.
Rio Grande River			
2. Is the receiving water listed as impaired on the 303(d) list and in need of a TMDL? *	303(d) list and in	need of a TMDL? *	
4. List the pollutants that are causing the impairment:	ent:		
Please select the cause group and pollutant for which the waterbody is impaired	hich the waterboo	dy is impaired:	
Cause Group *		Pollutant *	
PATHOGENS		E. coli	
Please select the cause group and pollutant for which the waterbody is impaired:	hich the waterboo	dy is impaired:	
Cause Group *		Pollutant *	
POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS (PCBS)		Polychlorinated biphenyls [PCBs]	
Please select the cause group and pollutant for which the waterbody is impaired:	hich the waterboo	dy is impaired:	
Cause Group *		Pollutant *	
RADIATION		Alpha, total	
Please select the cause group and pollutant for which the waterbody is impaired:	hich the waterboo	dy is impaired:	
Cause Group *		Pollutant *	
TEMPERATURE		Temperature, water deg. centigrade	
Please select the cause group and pollutant for which the waterbody is impaired:	hich the waterboo	dy is impaired:	
Cause Group *		Pollutant *	
ORGANIC ENRICHMENT/OXYGEN DEPLETION	Ž	Oxygen, dissolved percent saturation	

3. Has a TMDL been completed for this receiving waterbody? \*

(a) Yes

(b) No

C. Describe the control measures you will employ to comply with the non-numeric technology-based effluent limits required in Part 2.1.2 and Part 8, and any other measures taken to comply with the requirements in Part 2.2 Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations (see Part 5.2.4.1). \*

Control measures for non-numeric compliance include but are not limited to:

- Exposure minimization via reduction of outdoor storage
- Covering exposed materials and equipment using storm resistant coverings
- Conducting loading and unloading operations under cover whenever feasible. An awning has been installed over the shipping/receiving area for this purpose
- Elimination of exposed vehicle maintenance activities
- ου φφου Implementation of housekeeping practices to reduce exposure of process materials
- Implementation of spill control and countermeasures plan

Ö . Provide a schedule for good housekeeping and maintenance (see Part 5.2.5.1) and a schedule for all inspections required in Part 4 (see Part 5.2.5.2). \*

Housekeeping, inspection and maintenance schedule (all inspections and maintenance activities are documented in appropriate logs)

- Garbage collections are scheduled weekly with the City of Albuquerque Solid Waste Department
- Inspections of all pollution control measures are scheduled daily for pollution control equipment and tanks, monthly for all pollution control structures such as berms, diking and stormwater conveyances. Maintenance operations for pollution control equipment are scheduled through the Materion Corporation Corporate Department following manufacturer recommendation.

  Spill Prevention and Control Procedures are documented in the Materion Corporation Spill Prevention and Countermeasure Plan (attached to SWPPP by reference).
- 4 ro ro Inspections of pollution control equipment are conducted daily
- . Employee training is conducted yearly and documented via the Materion Corporate training system

# E: Endangered Species Protection

. Using the instructions in Appendix E of the MSGP, under which endangered species criterion listed in Part 1.1.4.5 are you eligible for coverage under this permit? \*

Criterion C - Discharges and discharge-related activities are not likely to adversely affect listed species and critical habitat

- action area; implementation of controls approved by EPA and the Services). 2. Provide a brief summary of the basis for the criterion selected in Appendix E (e.g., communication with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or National Marine Fisheries Service to determine no species in action area: implementation of controls approved by EPA and the Services) \*
- habitat within the "action area" 1. A search was conducted using the FWS IPAC tool. Results of the search, along with results of the Request for an Official Species List generated the list in 2.a below. The search did not find any critical
- 2. The facility evaluated the potential for adverse affect to listed threatened or endangered species or designated critical habital
- informal discharge between the facility and the area of critical habitat. Therefore, the likelihood of impact from the facility's Action Area to the designated critical habitat area is low due to these unpaved a. The facility backs on to the AMAFCA channel (Arroyo del Pino), a storm water drain which connects to the Rio Grande River (a critical habitat for the Silve1y Minnow). There are areas of unpaved/ informal drainage areas along the channel, in addition to the facility's distance to the Rio Grande. Materion Corp. believes that the facility has a low likelihood of impact to threatened and endangered
- a. What federally-listed species or federally-designated critical habitat are located in your "action area." \*

## Federally listed species:

silvery minnow (Hybognathus amarus), New Mexico meadow jumping mouse (Zapus hudsonius luteus) Southwestern Willow flycatcher (Empidonax traillii extimus), Mexican Spotted owl (Strix occidentalis lucida), Sprague's Pipit (Anthus spragueii), Yellow-Billed Cuckoo (Coccyzus americanus), Rio Grande

Federally designated Critical Habitat: There is no critical habitat within the action area

b. Using the Criterion C Eligibility Form, check which of the following is applicable to your facility and answer any corresponding questions.

I submitted my completed Criterion C Eligibility Form to EPA at least 30 days prior to submitting this NOI and agree to implement any controls that were determined by EPA to be necessary to ensure that my discharges and/or discharge-related activities will have no likely adverse affects on listed species and critical habitat.

🔀 I submitted my completed Criterion C Eligibility Form to EPA at least 30 days prior to submitting this NOI and have not been notified of any additional controls necessary to ensure no likely adverse affects on listed species and critical habitat.

Date your Criterion C Eligibility Form was sent to EPA (in DD/MM/YYYY format) \*

31 Jul 2015

\* Note: After you submit your NOI and before your NOI is authorized, EPA may notify you if any additional controls are necessary to ensure your discharges have no likely adverse affects on listed species and critical habitat

77
T
P(AR)-1
S
-
$\circ$
=3.
0
70
ത
άí
(D)
-3
<
$\alpha$
0
$\simeq$

`	
)	•
	×
	2
	=
	ळ
	O
	-
)	Ý
	ŝ
	ತ್ತ
	00
	ည်
	ã
	cated
	===
	-
	$\Xi$
	Ω.
	20
	cou
	$\subseteq$
	盁
	$\supset$
	~
	20
	Õ.
	S
	ño.
	رن
	6
	Ē
	Ø,
	Ω.
	<
	ō
	cate
	2
	9
	$\preceq$
	o
	200
	×
	유
	on a proper
	Æ.
	<
	2
	2
	eligio
	(Q)
	ō
	sno
	0
	$\simeq$
	ور ور
	=
	Œ
	0
	===
	<u></u>
	g
	Ħ
	Ö.
	can
	ದ
	ò
	Ö
	01
	2
	Ministra Ministra
	$\Xi$
	<b>=</b>
	ä
	_
	<u> </u>
	8
	be?

Yes No

2. Using the instructions in Appendix F of the MSGP, under which historic properties preservation criterion listed in Part 1.1.4.7 are you eligible for coverage under this permit \*

Criterion B - Subsurface stormwater controls will not affect historic properties

## Certification Information

Certifier E-Mail \*

Armando.Zarrazola@Materion.com

Confirm Certifier: Armando.Zarrazola@Materion.com \*

provide supporting documentation. When you have completed this form, click this button to submit the form for processing. You will then be provided with further instructions should you have to

the form. You can then logon to NeT with your username and password at any time to complete this transaction. To save a partially completed form for completion at a later date from a different computer, click the 'Save to NeT' button. Clicking this button will cause you to exit

### **Embedded Adobe XML Form**

The file https://net.epa.gov/enoi/servlet/SubmissionServlet is an Adobe embedded in this document. Double click the pushpin to view.	XML Form do	cument that ha	is been



### Sorry An Error Has Occurred

### **Offline Save Not Available**

Offline Save not permitted for this form.

Error Reference Number: 63539

NeT is optimized for Firefox 31 and Internet Explorer 9 with Adobe Acrobat/Reader version X or XI About Us | NPDES eReporting Tool | Contact Us | Powered By Avoka Transact

### Foote, Jennifer, NMENV

From: Armando.Zarrazola@materion.com
Sent: Friday, January 20, 2017 2:04 PM

**To:** Foote, Jennifer, NMENV

**Cc:** Daniel.Woodbridge@materion.com; 'Gooden-Jackson.Gladys@epa.gov'; 'houston.robert@epa.gov';

johnson.carol@epa.gov; 'Long.David@epa.gov'; 'peters.carol@epa.gov' (peters.carol@epa.gov);

'Whitten-Hill.darlene@Epa.gov'; Chavez, William, NMENV

**Subject:** Re: Revised Inspections

Attachments: 2015 SWPPP Refinery Rev 4.pdf; Spill Report 121216.pdf; Spill Report 121216 pictures.pdf; Spill

Report Log 2016.pdf; Inspection checklist.pdf; SWPPP Schedules.pdf

### Good morning Jennifer,

Having received you inspection reports from the 9th, I started working on the issues found at the refinery.

Attached please find our revised SWPPP, the spill report and log for the spill found on Dec. 12.16 and the monitoring report for the 1st quarter 2016 missed sample. Also attached are the schedules referenced on page 5 of the inspection checklist. Further, please note that the spill referenced on page 6 of the checklist happened on the same day of the inspection and not a week before. The security guard that accompanied us during the inspection told us that the spill had happened earlier that day, I am not sure how we gave you the impression that the spill was a week old.

I also attached the original report with my notes on it on where in the SWPP the correction was made.

Please note that the SWPPP has not been signed yet by the facility manager for Albuquerque, he has been traveling on company business. The signature, the training and the NOI submission will happen next week. I just thought I'd let you know I have not ignored the issues, I have been working on them since the report was received. I will update you next week on these three issues.

I will also start to work on the issues found at the north facility next week.

Regards,

### Armando Zarrazola

Lab Manager Armando.Zarrazola@materion.com p: 505.345.1805 f: 505.342.5543



### MATERION

Materion Microelectronics & Services (Albuquerque) 6905 Washington St. NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 www.materion.com

From: "Foote, Jennifer, NMENV" < Jennifer.Foote@state.nm.us>

To: "'peters.carol@epa.gov' (peters.carol@epa.gov)" <peters.carol@epa.gov>, "'Long.David@epa.gov'" <Long.David@epa.gov>, "'houston.robert@epa.gov'" <houston.robert@epa.gov>, "Whitten-Hill.darlene@Epa.gov>, "Chavez, William, NMENV" <william.chavez@state.nm.us>, "Armando.Zarrazola@materion.com" <Armando.Zarrazola@materion.com" <Armando.Zarrazola@materion.com>

"johnson.carol@epa.gov" <johnson.carol@epa.gov>, "'Gooden-Jackson.Gladys@epa.gov" <Gooden-Jackson.Gladys@epa.gov>

Date: 01/20/2017 09:53 AM Subject: Revised Inspections

The cover sheets for the inspections originally sent on January 9 had an incorrect date. Please use the attached versions instead, my apologies for the error.

NPDES CEI; Materion Advanced; NMU001927; December 12, 2016 NPDES CEI; Materion Refining; NMU001926; December 12, 2016

Thank you,

Jennifer Foote Municipal Team Supervisor NMED - Surface Water Quality Bureau Phono: (505) 227, 0506

Phone: (505)827-0596 Fax: (505)827-0160

Jennifer.Foote@state.nm.us

[attachment "NMU001927-20161212-r1.pdf" deleted by Armando Zarrazola/ALB/BWI] [attachment "NMU001926-20161212 r1.pdf" deleted by Armando Zarrazola/ALB/BWI]

This e-mail and any attachments are provided for the sole use of the intended recipient(s), and may contain information that is confidential, privileged, proprietary or otherwise protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, forward or otherwise disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original communication.